1	at concil	iation are futile, basically,	Page 23
2			
		hat conciliation of this matter	=
3	will not	work?	
4	A	I guess it could be inferred,	
5	yeah.		September 1
6	Q	Do you have a different	
7	А	No.	2
8	Q	Did you participate in the	
9	investigat	tion of Ms. Blackledge's EEOC	ŀ
10	charge fro	om 2004?	
11	· A	Did I participate in it?	
12	Q	Yes.	
13	A	From the standpoint of what now?	
14	What do yo	ou mean?	
15	Q	Did you do an investigation?	
16	А	No.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17	Q	Interviews?	
18	А	No.	
19	Q	Anyone from your office do any	
20	interviews	, that you're aware of?	
21	A	No.	
22	Q	Did you have any discussions with	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
23	anyone abo	ut the EEOC charge, besides the	(INTERPORTED TO THE PERSON OF

1	well, anyone, first of all?	Page 24
2	A Just the legal division, people	
3	in legal.	
4	Q You didn't have any conversations	
5	with Ms. Susan Stuardi about the charge?	
6	A No.	
7	Q How about Ms. Jerryln London?	
8	A No.	in the state of th
9	Q How about Fordyce Mitchell?	
10	A No.	
11	Q Ms. McIntosh-Wilson, did you have	
12	any conversations with her?	:
13	A No.	
14	Q And Ms. Blackledge has filed a	ACCULIENCE PROPERTY OF THE PRO
15	second charge of discrimination. Are you	
16	aware of that second charge of	**************************************
17	discrimination?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q And are you aware of that what	SACTOR PROPERTY.
20	that charge alleges?	
21	A I believe this is the one	
22	regarding performance appraisal?	E. Att
23	Q Performance appraisals, yes. And	
		į.

1	it is or where we would find it? We	Page 26
2	provided some documents here, and I didn't	
3	see one, but that does not mean there is not	
4	one. I couldn't find one. Do you have	
5	something here we could look through to find	
6	it?	
7	A Other than the DMHR policy	
8	manual?	
9	Q Yes.	
10	A No.	
11	Q I mean, do you want to look	
12	through and see if we can find it?	
13	A We can.	
14	(Whereupon Plaintiff's	
15	Exhibit Number 84 was marked and	
16	attached to the deposition.)	
17	BY MR. WILSON	
18	Q Before we do that, this might	
19	help. This is Plaintiff's Exhibit 84, two	
20	pages of documents. And this is marked	
21	number 60-77, policy statement: "A hostile	
22	work environment or on-the-job harassment	
23	will not be tolerated." I will show you	

		Dage 27
1	this document.	Page 27
2	A Okay. What about this?	
3	Q Is that a policy that's in effect	
4	today in the department?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q Do you know if there's a separate	
7	policy, other than that document, discussing	
8	retaliation?	
9	A No. I don't think there's	
10	anything separate that I'm aware of.	-
11	Q So it's your belief that policy	
12	60-77 encompasses the retaliation policy of	
13	the department?	
14	MR. TARVIN: Object to the form.	
15	A This is the one that I'm familiar	200 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
16	with. I'm not familiar with another one.	
17	Q Okay. I'm going to show you	
18	again, there's nothing that I saw specific	
19	that states retaliation is prohibited, is	
20	that correct?	
21	A Nothing specific that states	
22	retaliation, no, I don't see that.	
23	Q And as far as we know today,	9880A.00
		His his contact

•		Page 28
1	there's no other policy that would encompass	raye 20
2	retaliation?	
3	MR. TARVIN: Object to the form.	
4	A Not one that I can find today,	
5	but I will continue to look through this and	
6	see if there's something I missed.	
7	Q Okay. If you want to take your	
8	time or any	
9	A I don't see anything else.	
10	Q Okay. And whether or not we can	
11	find it specifically written in the	
12	policies, is it the policy of the department	
13	that employees cannot be retaliated against	
14	once they've taken some sort of protective	
15	activity?	
16	A Absolutely.	
17	Q And that should employees or	
18	anyone should not retaliate against another	
19	employee, no matter how they feel about the	
20	allegations an employee is making, is that	
21	correct?	
22	A That's correct.	
23	Q If an employee alleges they're	

1	Q Okay. Ms. Kendra Butler, who has	Page 37
2	been Ms. Blackledge's supervisor for some	
3	time now, said she has not received any	
4	training on any type of retaliation or	
5	employment discrimination. Does that	
6	surprise you?	
7	MR. TARVIN: Object to the form.	
8	A I don't know Ms. Butler. I don't	
9	know, you know, what capacity she's been in,	
10	other than supervising at Region III. But	
11	the Brewer Center would have been	
12	responsible for any training that was done	
13	there at that time. So I can't speak for	
14	that.	
15	Q Well, I mean as Director of HR,	
16	of Human Resources I mean as Director of	
17	Human Resources, do you think it's important	
18	that supervisors are trained on employment	
19	discrimination matters?	
20	A Absolutely.	
21	Q If supervisors are the ones	
22	giving out discipline, they should know	
23	what's right and what's wrong regarding	

		Page 39
	you seen that document before?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And is this a policy to comply	
4	with equal opportunity employment matters?	
5	A It's pretty much a policy	
6	statement regarding equal employment	
7	opportunity.	
8	Q Section 2 there, Standards, would	
9	you just read that for me, sentence.	
10	A "The department will maintain and	
11	implement some affirmative action plan."	
12	Q Is there some affirmative action	
13	plan?	
14	A Yes.	- -
15	Q How long has that been in effect?	
16	A When I got here in 1980 it was in	
17	effect. We've revised it since then, of	
18	course.	
19	Q Do you know why that affirmative	
20	action plan was put in effect?	
21	A To ensure that individuals would	人で発掘す
22	be employed and on an equal opportunity	
23	basis, based on Title VII.	######################################

1	don't remember right now?	Page 59
2	A Yeah, I just don't remember right	
3	now.	
4	Q Federal something or another?	
5	A It's the same classification	
6	that's done by the Federal Government when	
7	they do GS ratings and that kind of thing.	
8	Q Do you know if that scoring	
9	system was followed in regards to	
10	Ms. Blackledge's desk audit?	
11	A I'm not real certain.	
12	Q If it was not performed, would	
13	that be a violation of the policy?	
14	MR. TARVIN: Object to the form.	
15	A If it was not performed?	
16	Q If the scoring system was not	
17	performed.	
18	MR. TARVIN: Same objection.	
19	A I wouldn't say it would be a	
20	violation. It would be an oversight on	
21	someone's part.	
22	Q So it should be performed?	## PART PART
23	A Yes.	

•		
1	Q And what is a form 40?	Page 64
2	A That's a questionnaire that the	
3	State of Alabama uses for each of its	
4	positions, whether it's classified or merit	
5	I mean whether it's classified or	
6	unclassified. It details the job	
7	descriptions.	
8	Q Okay. If you will, can you flip	
9	through those documents and tell me if	
10	there's a form 40 in there?	
11	A No, the form 40, itself, is not	
12	in here.	
13	Q The scoring that we discussed	
14	about that's supposed to be done during a	
15	desk audit, would that be done on a form 40	
16	or something else?	
17	A No, the form 40 would be	
18	separate.	
19	Q Do you see anything in these	
20	documents that indicate the proper scoring	
21	was done for Ms. Blackledge's desk audit?	
22	MR. TARVIN: Object to the form.	
23	A The nonfactors are here but the	

Page 65 1 scoring is not actually done. 2 0 Okay. And we're talking about 3 this Exhibit 4 still, correct? Yes. 4 Α 5 So there's nine factors and 6 there's no scoring done, is that correct? 7 Α That's correct. 8 So would you state this is an 0 9 improper audit? 10 MR. TARVIN: Object to the form. 11 Improper, I wouldn't say improper Α 12 but maybe incomplete. 13 Incomplete? The lack of scoring would indicate a incomplete audit -- let me 14 15 The lack of scoring would indicate 16 a incomplete audit, is that correct? 17 Α As far as I'm concerned. 18 Okay. Do you remember seeing 19 this document? 20 Α I don't remember. 21 Do you remember anything about Q 22 Ms. Blackledge bringing to anyone's 23 attention that the desk audit was not

Page 67 1 the bottom, she appears to be complaining 2 the interviewer, Ms. Benson, did not request 3 a narrative or did not ask detailed 4 questions, or do you remember that being an issue? 5 6 No. I don't remember that as 7 being an issue. 8 Do you remember her making a Q 9 complaint about the improper scoring? 10 Α Yes. 11 0 And what's labeled here as 12 Exhibit 7, I believe goes from Bates stamp 3547 to 3554. Do you recognize that 13 document? 14 15 Yeah, I recognize it. 16 And what do you recognize it as? Q 17 Α Well, I saw it for the first time when we were going over the interrogatories, 18 and I think this is part of the exhibits 19 2.0 that I saw. 21 Q Would you agree that it appears 22 to be Ms. Blackledge drafting what she 23 considers to be a proper desk audit?

		B 74
1	Q Well, first of all, let me ask	Page 71
2	what does the recommendation mean?	
3	A That means that she was the	
4	hearing officer recommended that guidelines	Pinto 1
5	be established for doing desk audits and	
6	that another desk audit be done for the CSS	
7	III or IV and that she may be given a desk	
8	audit for a Mental Health Specialist II, if	1000000
9	the standards allow for Mental Health	
10	Specialist II.	
11	Q Do you know if standard	:
12	guidelines and procedures were completed	
13	after this?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q They were?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q And did where are those	
18	guidelines located? Where are they? What	·
19	are they located in?	
20	A In fact, I don't believe we even	NITTO CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY
21	have them in our responses here, but I could	**************************************
22	get that for you.	2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.
23	Q Okay.	

1	Q And did you do anything to	Page 79
2	investigate those complaints?	
3	A Well, first of all, she had	
4	already made a call to Joan Owens to	
5	determine whether she had to sign her	
6	performance appraisal, and I think Joan	
7	responded that she did and that there was no	
8	appeal for filing an appeal on one's	
9	performance appraisal. So, as a result, no,	
10	I did not respond to that.	
11	Q And have you done anything to	
12	assure employees do not retaliate against	
13	Ms. Blackledge?	
14	A Have I done anything?	
15	Q Yes.	
16	MR. TARVIN: Same objection. You	
17	can answer.	
18	A The only thing, I've had a	
19	conversation with Ms. Wilson to just make	
20	sure that was understood at that regional	
21	office, that that would not happen. So	
22	that's the only thing I've had a	
23	conversation with her about.	

1		Page 86
1	Q Can you tell me those steps?	1
2	A Well, without looking, I will do	
3	my best with what I remember. Verbal	
4	warnings, written counseling, written	
5	reprimand, suspensions, termination.	
6	Q Okay. That's about right. Do	
7	you know if verbal discipline should be	
8	documented?	
9	A A written warning is usually	
10	documented but no points are deducted.	
11	Q Okay. And I'm trying not to make	
12	a lot more exhibits, but on Bates stamp	
13	4641, page 38, says, "The supervisor should	
14	make a note as documented evidence of the	
15	conversation, including violation, the date	
16	it occurred, the employee's name, what	
17	action will follow, and employee's comments.	
18	The note of the verbal reprimand should be	
19	kept in supervisor's file, not in the	
20	Personnel file." Is that the policy as you	
21	know it today?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Are you familiar with	

1	preappraisals?	Page 87
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And mid-appraisals?	
4	A Yes.	
5	·Q What are what is a	
6	preappraisal?	
7	A Well, the preappraisal,	
8	basically, is that document that outlines	
9	those duties that will be performed and	
10	agreed upon by the employee and the	
11	supervisor for a particular classification.	
12	Q And when is that usually done?	
13	A At the appraisal period,	
14	beginning of the new appraisal period, or	
15	when a person first comes into employment.	
16	Q And what about a mid-appraisal?	
17	A A mid-appraisal is usually done	
18	at the three-month interval, if it's a	
19	six-month evaluation period or six-month	
20	probationary period, it's usually done at	
21	the third month.	
22	Q And supervisors having some	
23	issues with the employee, is the supervisor	3 2 2

1 .	supposed to develop some sort of plan for	Page 88
2	that employee to improve over the course	
3	until the next appraisal?	
4	A An action plan, yes.	
5	Q Is that supposed to be	
6	documented?	
7	A It should be documented on that	
8	form that an action plan has been developed.	
9	Q Should be documented on the	
10	mid-appraisal form?	
11	A Right.	
12	Q Okay. And the supervisor should	
13	let the employee know what that plan is and	
14	how they can improve?	
15	A Yes, it should be written on that	
16	form.	
17	Q Okay. I don't think I've asked	
18	you this, but do you play any role on	
19	selecting who is on an interview panel when	
20	a job comes open?	
21	A Do I play a role, personally?	
22	No.	
23	Q Have you ever selected who would	
		ļ

		202
1	A Right.	Page 92
2	Q And they're making the ultimate	
3	decision, because they're signing off on it,	
4	but they might not have any actual input	
5	into the decision, is that true?	
6	A As it relates to filling a	
7	position?	
8	Q Yes. As it relates to the person	
9	selected for the position?	
10	A Well, I'm quite sure the	
11	supervisor will definitely be talking to the	
12	Associate Commissioner, if that's the person	
13	that supervises them, before a decision is	
14	made.	
15	Q Okay. So if Ms. Wilson had	
16	testified that she did not play a role in	
17	making a decision, she left it to the	
18	authority of the supervisor, is there	
19	anything wrong with that?	
20	A Nothing wrong with that, if	
21	that's what she wants to do. Yeah.	
22	Q She has the ability to do that?	
23	A Yes.	

•		Page 96
1	employees?	,
2	A Yes.	
3	Q And these are the following two	
4	pages, page 8 and 9. I believe this goes	
5	with it, correct?	
6	A Yeah, that's correct.	
7	Q And I'm just going to attach this	
8	as one exhibit, Exhibit 94, pages 3 through	
9	9, Bates stamped 4808 through 4814. When	
10	employees are chosen, should the person	
11	choosing just look to the interviews or they	İ
12	should look at other stuff also, such as	
13	employees' education and qualifications?	
14	A Yeah, everything.	
15	Q Everything together?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Do you know if any particular	
18	weight is put on the interview compared to	
19	other factors?	
20	A Not necessarily, no. The	
21	interview plays a major piece of it, but	
22	there are other factors too to include.	
23	Q Plays a part but they should also	
		1

1	consider employees' education?	Page 97
2	A Sure.	
3	Q Their work experience?	
4	A Directly related to the job	
5	they're applying for, yeah.	
6	Q Anything else you can think of?	
7	A No.	,
8	Q And on page 9 here, paragraph 3,	
9	stating, "It also must be stressed that	
10	interviewer supervisor who is rating the	
11	applicant must make no attempt the	
12	manipulate the system or otherwise allow	
13	personal biases to influence the decision."	
14	A Yeah.	
15	Q So it would be against policy for	
16	the interviewer and selector to try to	
17	influence other panel members?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q Do you think it's possible that	
20	that could happen sometimes?	
21	MR. TARVIN: Object to the form,	
22	I think.	Section of the sectio
23	A We know anything is possible, but	- - -

1	I just hope it didn't happen and doesn't.	Page 98
2	But it's possible, yeah.	
3	Q And my understanding is that	
4	through the interview, employees are given a	
5	list of questions to ask the interviewees,	
6	is that correct?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q But, ultimately, it's up to the	
9	interviewer's subjective opinion on the	
1,0	employees who is the best candidate?	
11	MR. TARVIN: Object to the form.	
12	A You're going to have to repeat	
13	that one again for me.	
14	Q Will you read it again?	
15	(Whereupon, the question was read back.)	
16	MR. TARVIN: Same objection.	
17	A The panel makes the	
18	recommendation to whatever the acquiring	
19	manager is, whether that manager is on the	
20	panel or not. If it's a supervisor that	
21	they need to make the recommendation to,	
22	that you look at the top three in this	
23	category or this is the number 1 person,	

1	that recommendation is made by the panel.	Page 99
2	Q Okay. But when the panel is	
3	interviewing an applicant, they have to go	
4	by their subjective opinion on the applicant	
5	in the interview, correct?	
6	MR. TARVIN: Object to the form.	
7	A Well, if you want to say it's	
8	subjective, whatever is in front of them as	
9	it relates to information provided, whether	
10	it's educational background or whether it's	
11	how they came across, how they answered the	
12	questions in the interview, yes, if you want	
13	to say that's subjective.	
14	Q Right. How they answered the	
15	questions and all, that would be subjective?	
16	A Yeah, certainly.	
17	Q But they should also take into	
18	account maybe other objective things, maybe	
19	such as that you can weigh, such as	
20	education, experience, and work experience?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q All right. I think I'm done.	
23	Thank you for your time.	